



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1489
Alexandria, Virginia 22312-1489
www.uspto.gov/

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,597	01-10-2002	Hirochika Yamakawa	500.41074X00	5097

20457 7540 06/04/2004

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

EXAMINER

DIAMOND, ALAN D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1753

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/041,597	YAMAKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alan Diamond	1753	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01102002
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See page 12, lines 18-19, of the instant specification. See also MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference signs 1200, 1900, 2000 and 2100 in Figure 8. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: reference signs x1, x2, and x3 at page 17, line 18, of the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, at line 20, the term "channel 1" should be changed to "channel 21". On page 8, at line 11, the word "an" should be changed to "a". On page 8, at line 28, the term "mage" needs to be corrected. On page 9, at line 1, the term "palate" should be changed to "plate". On page 11, at line 26, the term "second plate 102" should be changed to "second plate 120". On page 16, at line 2, the term "fluorescence detector 90" should be changed to "fluorescence detector 910". On page 18, at line 23, the term "automatic sampler 2400" should be changed to "automatic sampler 2300". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, at line 11, the term "fist" should be changed to "first". In claim 1, at line 13, the term "cannel" should be changed to "channel". In claim 2, at lines 21-22, the term "of the of the" should be changed to "of the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because "the side wall surfaces" at lines 19-20 lack positive antecedent support in claim 1 itself. It is suggested that the term "left and right wall

surfaces" at line 11 be changed to "left and right side wall surfaces". The same applies to dependent claims 3-11.

Claim 1 is also indefinite because "the planar palate" at lines 22-23 lacks positive antecedent support in claim 1 itself. It is suggested that said term be changed to "the planar plate". The same applies to dependent claims 3-11.

Claim 2 is indefinite because "the side surfaces" at line 13 lack positive antecedent support in claim 2 itself. It is suggested that the term "left and right wall surfaces" at lines 11-12 be changed to "left and right side wall surfaces", and that the term "the side surfaces" at line 13 be changed to "the side wall surfaces".

Claim 2 is also indefinite because "the planar palate" at line 24 lacks positive antecedent support in claim 2 itself. It is suggested that said term be changed to "the planar plate".

In claim 3 at line 5, in claim 4 at line 4, in claim 5 at line 3, and in claim 6 at line 3, the term "fluorescence" should be changed to "fluorescent" so as to be consistent with the "fluorescent transmission path" that is recited in claim 1.

In claim 10, at line 9, the term "layered one another" should be changed to "layered one upon another" so as to clearly point out what is intended.

Claim 11 is indefinite because "the outgoing window formed in the bottom surface of the planar substrate" at lines 8-10 lacks positive antecedent support in claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1753

8. Claims 1-11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and the objections for informalities set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publication 5,062,942, 5,268,080, 6,017,765, 6,361,672, 6,485,625, 6,576,108, 6,627,433 and 2004/0007465 are hereby made of record.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan Diamond whose telephone number is 571-272-1338. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Alan Diamond
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

Alan Diamond
May 26, 2004